

Photo by Rick Montaine



*Judge Marlene Kristovich and
President Brenda Montaine*

Brenda Montaine And SCRW&M 2008 Board Sworn in By Judge Kristovich

Photo by Rick Montaine



From left to right Susan Quick, Bill Saracino, Michael Lerew, Eldon Quick, Judge Kristovich

Inside This Issue

Features

Announcing New Board.....	1
Poirier’s Presentation.....	7
Making Up My Mind.....	6
This Months Speaker.....	3
On Villaraigosa.....	4b
Cartoon.....	4a

Columns

President’s Message.....	2
Bill’s Bills.....	3
Political Forum.....	5
From the Editor.....	4a

General Info

Membership Information.....	7
Calendar.....	8
Board.....	2

The 2008 Board of Directors was sworn in at the December 8th meeting of the Southern California Republican Women and Men. **Brenda Montaine** takes the office of President and her Board will be, 1st Vice President, **Eldon Quick**, 2nd Vice President, **Michael Lerew** 3rd Vice President **Jeffers Dodge**, Treasurer **William Saracino**, Recording Secretary, **Susan Quick**, and Corresponding Secretary **Louise Clarke Stone**. Unable to attend their swearing in were Jeffers Dodge and Louise Clarke Stone.

There are a number of Committees that will need member participation. Brenda will be assigning committee chairmen and women. Being a member of SCRW&M should not be just a once a month activity. Your participation in a committee is needed and is both rewarding and enjoyable, and really doesn’t take that much time. At the January meeting and probably at following monthly meetings Brenda will pass around a committee sign in sheet. Every member should be on at least one committee.

Brenda has so far made the following assignments; Forum Moderator, Michael Lerew. Forum Report, Mary Morris. Newsletter, Eldon Quick. Candidate Development and Support, Carl F. Davis, Jr.

From the President's Desk---

Brenda Montaine

Happy New Year from your Southern California Republican Women and Men president !

Thank you to all who attended our December luncheon Installation of officers. Thank you to long time club friend Judge Marlene Kristovich for leading our swearing in ceremony. We look forward to Gary Aminoff joining us for our

January luncheon as our guest speaker.

In preparing my January column I came across the following website:

<http://www.mediaaresearch.org/>. Are you familiar with it? It's a conservative dream site. A professed American Media Watchdog, hallelujah! Although, (I'm embarrassed to share) they have been around since 1987 and I've just discovered this wealth of info. Hey, if you recall my December article - the '80's were a time for me in which I was naïve and complacent -so please don't judge me too harshly. We all have our stories of becoming more and more informed and in turn more and more involved. Anyway, I'm thankful that this site has formally introduced me to writings of columnist L. Brent Bozell III. His work resounds with me and has helped me answer one of our December forum questions, What scares me about Hillary? By the way, does she scare you? What about her liberal Senate voting record and an adoring media? Remember her fiasco of a Health Plan? Actually, there is quite a long list of reasons to be afraid of her. However, what scares me the most is that I just don't see her able to frame herself as trustworthy. So, let's make sure that we all do our part in 2008 to support our Republican candidates at the grassroots level. We certainly can't trust our liberal media to reveal why the Democrat candidates ratings should fall.

Some surprising news this December 19, 2007 reported by Fred Barnes in Barnes: An Astonishing Turnaround on Iraq posted at the Campaign Standard weekly blog edited by Matthew Continetti web link:

http://www.weeklystandard.com/weblogs/CampaignStandard/2007/12/barnes_an_astonishing_turnarou_1.asp

Barnes writes,

"An astonishing turnaround occurred in the Senate on Tuesday: 70 senators voted to [fund the Iraq war](#) with a fresh \$70 billion and no strings attached. Think about this a moment. Last winter, after Democrats captured the Senate and House, it seemed likely they'd succeed in limiting or ending the Iraq war, probably by setting a firm timetable for withdrawal of American troops. After all, both President

Continued on page 4

**Executive Board**

Brenda Montaine	President
Eldon Quick	1st Vice President
Michael Lerew	2nd Vice President
Jeffers Dodge	3rd Vice President
William Saracino	Treasurer
Susan Quick	Recording Secretary
Louise C. Stone	Corresponding Secretary

Committee Chairmen

Candidate Development	
Hospitality	
Newsletter Editor	
Reservations	Barbara Smith
Web Site	Eldon Quick

Members are encouraged to submit articles and political material for publication in the SCRW&M Newsletter.

SCRW&M reserves the right to accept, reject and edit letters and other editorial material.

Letters and editorial material must include the name, telephone number and address of the person making the submission.

SCRW&M does not return letters, photos, editorial material or other submissions.

Letters and editorial material may be mailed to: SCRW&M 2312 Cheremoya Ave. Los Angeles, CA. 90068 or email to: equick1@sbcglobal.net

All letters, photos and editorial material submitted shall become the property of SCRW&M and may be published in any format.



Website:
Scrwm.org

Blog:
Scrwm.wordpress.com

**Deadline for
February 2008
Newsletter**

SUBMISSIONS:
January 30, 2008

Our Own Gary Aminoff January's Speaker



Gary Aminoff is a rising star in the Republican Party of Los Angeles County. He is President of several very successful Real-estate development Companies, has Hollywood good looks and the drive to put together a number of Republican Clubs and meeting groups where Republicans can get together to discuss current issues.

Gary's subject for this Saturday will be "Paradise Lost - the story of how the Golden State was destroyed by the Left in 40 short years (and how we can bring it back)"

He was born in Los Angeles, graduated from U.C.L.A., and began his career as a CPA. In 1975 he became a licensed real estate broker and began his second career involving construction, development, syndication, commercial brokerage and consulting.

He says, "I was a Democrat until Jimmy Carter was President and then realized that the future of America was with the Republican Party." In 2003 he and six others formed the San Fernando Valley Republican Club. They started with 10 members and now have a membership of over 300 members. He is also President of the Northridge - Chatsworth Chapter of the California Republican Assembly, a member of the 42nd Assembly District Central Committee, on the Executive Board of the Republican Party of Los Angeles County and on the Leadership Council of the Republican Jewish Coalition.

Gary describes himself as a businessman who believes in limited government, individual liberty; Judeo-Christian traditional values; a strong national defense; free markets; private property; support for democracies around the world; and the preservation, protection and defense of the Constitution of the United States.

Bill's Bills

"Are we better off?"

Ronald Reagan sealed his victory in the 1980 election with this famous line directed at the American people in his last debate with the Plains Bumpkin; "Are you better off today than you were four years ago?"


In trying to assess the performance of the Governor and Legislature in 2007 we should ask the same essential question, just shorten the time-line to a single year. Sadly, the answer for California in 2007 is the same as America's answer in 1980 - a resounding "NO", we are not better off.

It would take much more space than available to list all of the very liberal bills which passed by the liberal Democrat dominated Legislature. Alas it would take much less space to list how many of those legislative mutants the Governor vetoed. For much of the year our Republican Governor was an active co-conspirator with legislative Democrats in making Californians less free.

And that truly is the measure. Are we as a state more or less free than we were a year ago? Is the small businessman more or less able to practice free enterprise without government intrusion. Are families keeping more or less of what they earn, keeping the fruits of their labors for themselves instead of the government? Are our children performing better or worse on standard academic achievement tests that measure basic learning?

By almost any measure, Californians are worse off now than we were a year ago. And for that we have to thank the liberals who control the legislature - and their most-of-the-time best friend and collaborator in the corner office. A sad state of affairs.

- Bill Saracino



From the President's Desk--- continued

Bush and the war itself were highly unpopular. The Democratic triumph in the election made that clear, even to those who doubted opinion polls. And Democrats made the anti-Iraq crusade their top priority in the new Congress. Now, the 70-vote approval of the war by the Senate represents the breathtaking dimension of their failure."

Wow, what a way to enter into 2008 ! Our country is at war. Not that war is good, but war is necessary-it's how this country got its start. Here are some famous words appropriate to our country's history wrought with adversary in the name of liberty. See if you can guess who this is before the last line. Here's your hint. I'm taking you back to March 23, 1775.

"They tell us, sir, that we are weak; unable to cope with so formidable an adversary. But when shall we be stronger? Will it be the next week, or the next year? Will it be when we are totally disarmed, and when a British guard shall be stationed in every house? Shall we gather strength by irresolution and inaction? Shall we acquire the means of effectual resistance by lying supinely on our backs and hugging the delusive phantom of hope, until our enemies shall have bound us hand and foot? Sir, we are not weak if we make a proper use of those means which the God of nature hath placed in our power. The millions of people, armed in the holy cause of liberty, and in such a country as that which we possess, are invincible by any force which our enemy can send against us. Besides, sir, we shall not fight our battles alone. There is a just God who presides over the destinies of nations, and who will raise up friends to fight our battles for us. The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the active, the brave. Besides, sir, we have no election. If we were base enough to desire it, it is now too late to retire from the contest. There is no retreat but in submission and slavery! Our chains are forged! Their clanking may be heard on the plains of Boston! The war is inevitable and let it come! I repeat it, sir, let it come.

It is in vain, sir, to extenuate the matter. Gentlemen may cry, Peace, Peace but there is no peace. The war is actually begun! The next gale that sweeps from the north will bring to our ears the clash of resounding arms! Our brethren are already in the field! Why stand we here idle? What is it that gentlemen wish? What would they have? Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take; but as for me, give me liberty or give me death! - Patrick Henry info at website: <http://www.history.org/Almanack/people/bios/biohen.cfm>

I suppose that New Year's is traditionally time to reflect on where we've been and where we're headed, time to make our resolutions and predictions. I find myself doing just that. I'd like to add that this is as good a time as any to continue to take steps to keep alive the hopes and dreams that our Founding Fathers had for our country. One way we can do this is to know our Constitution to uphold our Constitution. There were Thirty-nine signers of the Constitution (Did you know that Patrick Henry was not one of them). Hey, if you're ever on that game show Are You Smarter than a Fifth Grader you'll be thanking me. What is it that motivates us as a nation to become informed then active ? Is it religion, liberty, taxation ? Is it truth, justice, and apple pie ? I think it is some of that, all of that and more. It is that ah-ha, eureka, thump on your head - I could've had a V8 moment that is different for all of us, yet inherent to our core of fight or flight. For me, the more time I spend with what was happening in the 1700's, the more hope filled I become that such a bright past can take us into a brighter future. May the hopes and dreams of this country in 2008 be lasting and worthy of review with the same deserving reference of 1776.

Brenda Montaine, President

Annual Dues for 2008 now Due.

Don't allow yourself to become delinquent. We need your support.

Notes from The Board

Jeffers Dodge

"The biggest obstacle to American success is the incompetence of the Democrats." Jeffers M. Dodge. Happy New Year from Los Angeles Republican Alliance www.larepublicans.com, MediaGarage.org, The Rep & Demi Show, RiseUpAmerica.us, And introducing PopModal.com (Stay Tuned)

Susan Quick

My wishes for the members of SCRW&M in this most important year of politics, is that we can make our voices heard above the din of Socialism to promote true Conservatism in our cities, counties and country and why not the world.

William Saracino

Tonight I am in Puerto Vallarta. See my other articles in this months Newsletter. I will see you all Saturday. To all a prosperous 2008, and to all a good night.

Eldon Quick

I'm very excited about taking over the editing and publication of the Newsletter for this year. We're going to make some changes in the method of delivery. To those of you with email access, we will email a PDF copy of the Newsletter. To those of you who do not use computers we will mail by US Postoffice a hard copy of the Newsletter. The Newsletter will also be posted on our Web site, www.SCRWM.org as usual.

At the Forum we all get a chance to pop off and express ourselves on questions Michael brings up. But sometimes we may not express ourselves as fully as we might wish, or a subject we have thoughts on doesn't come up. Now you can use the Newsletter to express yourself in a less spontaneous more thoughtful way. Letters to the editor will happily be published (but please keep to around 400 words) And letters disagreeing with previous letters will also be published. I love reasoned dialogue between adults. Lets use the Newsletter as an extension of the Forum.



DID VILLARAIGOSA JUST OFFER TO PAY 22,000 PEOPLE TO VOTE FOR PROP S?

By Walter Moore, Candidate for Mayor of Los Angeles, www.WalterMooreForMayor.com?

Let's play "Law School." You be the student. I'll be Kingsford. We're studying California's Elections Code. Here's your first hypothetical question:

Suppose the Mayor of Los Angeles offered 22,000 City employees a raise of \$2,300 per year, for five years, to vote for Proposition S.

Would that be legal or illegal?

The Elections Code forbids a person to "offer. . . to pay . . . Any money . . . to or for any voter to . . . reward any voter for having voted for any particular . . . measure." [Section 18522(b)(3).]

The penalty for violating the statute is a three-year, all-expense paid stay at the Graybar Hotel. Also, a "public official who knowingly violates" the law "shall be forever disqualified from holding office in this state . . ." [Sections 18501, 18522.]

So what do you think? Would it be legal or illegal for the Mayor to offer employees a \$2,300 per year raise to vote for Prop S?

Here's why I ask:

On Wednesday, Mayor Villaraigosa approved a contract giving 22,000 City employees a raise of about \$2,318 per worker per year, for the next five years.

However, according to the Daily News, however, "city officials said the deals include unique terms that direct the city and union leaders to return to the bargaining table if the ballot measure fails . . ."

Let's re-cap. The employees get a \$2,318 per year raise if Prop S passes, but if it fails, they (supposedly) have to "return to the bargaining table."

Does that sound legal to you? If so, does it at least smell funny? (That's what we in the law trade call the "smell test" or, when you feel fancy, "the appearance of impropriety.")

It smells rotten to me.

It also smells like PR schtick. The "unique terms" supposedly requiring the parties to "return to the bargaining table" are toothless: the union sent its members a memo assuring them that, according to the article, "the city can't change the contracts."

Hence, City Hall's song-and-dance about the "unique terms" was apparently just another PR charade to dupe you into thinking the City is strapped for cash. In fact, however, the City is drowning in your tax dollars, and is hiring people, not firing them.

Case in point: on Wednesday, Ed Boks, the Director of Animal Services, posted an item on his blog stating, "The Department is now seeking a Public Relations Specialist to help us spread the word about the services and resources we offer to the people of Los Angeles."

The flyer for the PR job states that the salary runs from \$51,000 to \$64,000 per year, "plus excellent benefits." The flyer does not state the salary or benefits depend on the passage of Prop S. Oh, and get this: the flyer says "This is an emergency appointment." An "emergency" PR job?

Don't trust these people with even more of your hard-earned money. We don't need more taxes, and we sure as heck don't need more PR people at City Hall. We just need a better Mayor. Vote "no" on Prop S, and contribute to the Committee to Elect Walter Moore at www.WalterMooreForMayor.com.

Class dismissed.

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN
WOMEN AND MEN
The Forum – December 8, 2007**

The Forum welcomed back Michael Lerew from a recent trip to London, and immediately plunged into a discussion of a myriad of topics. First on the list was an analysis of the political events of the past month. Most members agreed that the most impressive event was Mike Huckabee's rise in the polls, closely followed by Romney's speech on religion. Michael called for a straw poll on election favorites, and results for first choice were as follows:

Giuliani -- 38.5%
Huckabee – 15.4%
Romney – 15.4%
Thompson - 15.4%
Hunter – 7.7%
McCain – 7.7%
Tancredo – 0%

Results for second-choice candidates were:

Romney – 38.5%
Giuliani – 30.7%
Thompson – 30.7%
Huckabee – 0
Hunter – 0
McCain - 0
Tancredo – 0.

Discussion ensued on Mitt Romney's speech on religion, and it was noted that he did not mention atheists, or the freedom to NOT believe in God--- although it was pointed out that including atheists would have been fodder for more criticism. Several members noted that the Republican Party should be open to atheists as well as to non-Christians.

The impact of the recent National Intelligence Estimate on Iran (concluding that Iran has no current military nuclear program) was the next topic of conversation, with some members believing that the report is meaningless and has no intelligence value. On the other hand, the report is an amalgam of the findings of 16 intelligence agencies, including the Central Intelligence Agency and the Defense Intelligence Agency, and there was some feeling that the report might put a crimp in the president's ability to place more sanctions on Iran.

An allied topic was the recently-revealed destruction of hundreds of hours of interrogation tapes, including alleged incidents of waterboarding.

It was noted that the reason for destroying the tapes might be to avoid future prosecution of the interrogators, or to avoid revealing interrogation techniques to the enemy.

Michael then asked for members' feelings about the most unappealing factor of a potential "Return of the Clintons."

Members were concerned about the following:

- A return to "Clinton morality"
- Creation of a paternalistic system, including reliance on government and skyrocketing taxes
- A turn to socialism
- Return of the Clinton advisors, e.g., Sandy Berger
- The sanctimonious attitude of the Clintons
- The establishment of political dynasties (Bush/Clinton/Bush/Clinton/Bush?)

A question was posed to the Forum: "Has your quality of life improved in the past year?" Many members said that their personal quality of life had improved, but that, on the whole, "modern society is going to the dogs." There are concerns about the future quality of life in California, and about the affect of illegal immigration as well as anti-business laws.

Discussion turned to the issue of global warming, with many members feeling that "most hot air is coming from the news media." It was felt that we need to adapt to whatever changes may occur, as we have for millions of years.

The final question was: what area of local government most needs reform? Answers included the City Council—criticized for ineffectiveness and low performance; lack of Republicans in Assembly seats; public employee unions; and illegal immigration.

Here's a New Year's resolution you'll be glad you made: come and join the fun—and enjoy real Republican fellowship—at SCRW&M's monthly Forum, followed by lunch and the monthly meeting. Happy New Year!



The following is taken from the Nov./Dec. 2007 Issue of the California Political Review.

WILLIAM E. SARACINO

MAKING UP MY MIND

Did you ever have to make up your mind?
Pick up on one and leave the other behind.
It's not often easy and not often kind.
Did you ever have to make up your mind?

- The Lovin' Spoonful

As IT regards presidential politics, the answer to the Spoonful's question is "yes," and it grows more cogent each passing day. That said, I still can't do it. I'm still stuck in my "all of the above are fine, but none of the above really move me" mode.

I've been particularly agitated of late by an increasing cacophony from my fellow conservatives about candidates who are "unacceptable" at one level or another. The "if we don't get our way we're taking our ball and going home" threat making the most news came from a group of mostly religious-right types, threatening to support a third party if Rudy Giuliani is the GOP nominee. But in addition to this high-profile shot across the bow, I've heard and read words written in a similar vein from several of the GOP presidential campaigns, or rather - from their supporters.

I'm sorry, but I just don't get it. What the religious-right (and, by the way, being both religious and rightwing, I could be considered part of that group) leaders imply - meaning to or not - (and this goes for any candidate's supporters who declare this or that other GOP candidate impossible to vote for) is that President Hillary Clinton would be a better than President Giuliani - or whichever other GOP candidate is written off as hopeless: that President Giuliani, Romney, Paul, or "X" would damage the country more than would Madam President Clinton.

This is madness.

On a 10 question (or 100 question) philosophical litmus test, I'd score pretty far to the right on both economic and social issues. That said, the 2008 election is being held in a particularly somber, dangerous time for our republic. Such times call for especially sober judgment on our part.

It seems that every four years supporters of one candidate or another trot out the cliché that this year's is the most important election in American history. I don't know if 2008 fits that description or not, but I do know that 2008 presents us with two issues which, in

my mind, trump and over-ride concerns about all the others: the war against terrorism and appointing good judges.

If we get it wrong on these two issues, none - repeat none - of the other issues, whether economic or social, will matter. If we get it wrong on these two issues, it is likely that 10 years from now our country will be a place far different, and far worse off, than it is today. Can any conservative look me in the eye and say that on these two specific issues Hillary Clinton is preferable to anyone in the Republican field?

As I am not yet supporting any of the candidates, I don't want to get into specific criticisms about the different candidates or the rebuttals thereto. And having cut my political teeth in intra-party warfare, I do not at all mean to discourage frank and pointed discussions of where the candidates differ on issues or encourage papering over differences. Primary campaigns are absolutely the proper place to discuss where we disagree - but also to remember where we

agree. Primary campaigns are the proper place to point out your candidate's strengths and the other candidates' weaknesses. But equally important is keeping those differences and strengths/ weaknesses in perspective and not forgetting to include in the

calculus the long term health of the Republic. Protecting that health requires defeating Hillary.

Madam President Hillary and First Fella Bill directing the war against terrorism. James Carville, Alan Dershowitz, and Stephen Reinhardt selecting our federal judges. Bill Maher MC-ing the inaugural festivities. Let those images roll around in your mind a bit. If they don't give you shivers than you'd best check your pulse. You could be dead.

Picking a candidate from a field this size is, as the Spoonful said, not often easy and not often kind. I don't know where I'll eventually land, but it will be with the candidate I think stands the best chance of keeping Evita Rodham Clinton out of the Oval Office. Any campaign that even approaches saying that "candidate X is or should be totally unacceptable for November" will lose my vote (but only in the primary).

So my plea to the campaigns is: By all means do all you can to win the nomination, but please keep your eye on the prize: the long-term survival of the country we know and love.

William E. Saracino is a member of California Political Review's Editorial Board.

*2008 presents us with two issues which, in
my mind, trump and over-ride concerns
about all the others: the war against
terrorism and appointing good judges.
- William E. Saracino*

Richard Poirier's Presentation at Notre Dame Cultural Conflict Conference

For the past eight years the University of Notre Dame Center for Ethics and Culture has been presenting annual conferences to address major issues of our time. This year's conference entitled "The Dialogue of Cultures" focused the intellectual resources of 13 prominent speakers and 130 presenters of papers over three days from Nov. 29 to Dec 1, 2007 on cultural conflict. It included discussion of sensitive issues such as the challenges of multiculturalism, incommensurable beliefs and terrorism.

Richard Poirier, a SCRW&M member, music industry financial executive, writer and philosopher, was invited to present a paper entitled "Incommensurable Beliefs Facilitate Cultural Dialogue Conflict." His presentation focused on the nature of beliefs with examples of people who justify violence based on beliefs.

Poirier explored how to possibly influence such people by understanding the standards they appeal to in justifying their acts of violence and by evaluating their motives. Thus, through understanding their standards, motives and ends we can construct arguments in dialogue that may penetrate religious and cultural barriers through real communication that affects behavior.

Richard Poirier's presentation on "Incommensurable Beliefs and Cultural Conflict" is available to readers on his Political and Philosophical Commentary blog on the Daily News' Valleynews.com website at www.Valleynews.com/~ThoughtfulCommentary. Those wishing to comment on Poirier's paper may do so in the Talk Back section of the Daily News website at the end of Poirier's paper by registering with the Daily News by entering their email address and creating a password.

Membership

Membership dues provide the main source of funding for the Club's programs, including this newsletter. By increasing your membership level you can help our club grow. There are five SCRW&M membership classifications, Regular, Patron, Golden, Eagle and Patriot. Membership dues help SCRW&M sponsor guests and send newsletters to potential members and other organizations. All SCRW&M members receive the clubs' newsletter.

Membership in Southern California Republican Women & Men is on a calendar year basis. January 1st through December 31st. Membership dues received after October 1st of a given year are credited for the balance of that year and as payment in full for the entire following year. Luncheon and special event tickets are available to members on a first come, first served basis and are subject to availability.

SCRW&M Membership Application

The undersigned registered Republican does hereby submit this application for membership in *Southern California Republican Women & Men* for the Calendar year of 2007 as indicated below—

Name of Applicant as Registered (Please Print or Type) _____ () _____
Area Code Telephone Number

Registered Address: Street _____ City _____ State _____ Zip Code _____

Signature of Applicant _____ E-mail Address _____ Name of Applicant's Sponsor _____

Date of Application ___/___/___ **New Membership** ___ **Membership Renewal** ___

Membership Level: ___ **Regular(\$25)** ___ **Patron (\$50)** ___ **Golden (\$75)** ___ **Eagle (\$100)** ___ **Patriot (\$200)**

Make check payable to: **SCRW&M** Mail to: SCRW&M, Membership, 3625 Angelus Ave. Glendale, CA. 91208

Saturday, January 12, 2008

Featured Speaker

Gary Aminoff

Topic, "Paradise Lost. The story of how the Golden State was destroyed by the left in forty short years...."

THE SMOKE HOUSE

Founded in 1946 at the corner of Pass & Riverside in Burbank.

The original restaurant seated 46 people, and was enjoyed by local luminaries Bob Hope and Bing Crosby (among others) on its opening night.

4420 West Lakeside Drive
Burbank, California 91505

Political Forum at 11:30 am

Luncheon at 1:00 pm

Members: \$25 Non-Members: \$40

Reservations by January 9, 2008

For Reservations

Call: Barbara Smith (323)849-7420

Or E-mail: scrwmresrvations@juno.com

Mark Your Calendar for 2008!


January 12th Luncheon

February 5th Primary

February 9th Luncheon

March 8th Luncheon

April 12th Luncheon



Post Office Box 7231, Van Nuys, CA 91409

ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED