



*Tom Del Beccaro*

## Tom Del Beccaro

Vice Chairman of the CRP  
Chairman, Contra Costa Republican Party

***"Together we can change tomorrow."***

*Featured Speaker*

Tom Del Beccaro was elected Vice Chair of the California Republican party in February of 2007. Prior to that, in 2005, he was elected without opposition to President of the California Republican Party's County Chairman's Association, which represents all of the county Republican parties in California. In that role, Tom also served as a member of the Board of Directors of the California Republican Party for the last two years. He is the current Chair of the CRP's Strategic Planning Committee and the Volunteer Committee. Tom also sits on the Operations and Budget Committees.

Tom is also the Chairman of the Contra Costa Republican Party. He has been unanimously elected to 3 consecutive terms as chair of that county party. Under Tom's leadership, Contra Costa has led the state among large counties in Republican turnout. In addition to those roles, Tom is the publisher of PoliticalVanguard.com an online news and commentary magazine that features his weekly column.

Tom has been active in Republican politics since 1980. Tom was the Bay Area Chair of the Bill Jones for US Senate and served as the Contra Costa Chairman for the Simon for Governor Campaign. He has served as Lamorinda Republican GOTV Captain. Tom is a past member of the Executive Board of the Lafayette School PTA and a member of the Las Trampas Creek Council, Founder of Lafayette's citizen advocacy group L.A.S.T., and, past committee member for the City of Lafayette.

Tom is also the founder of the Walnut Creek Law Firm, Del Beccaro, Hornsby & Blake. Most importantly, Tom is a single father of a 14 year old girl, Juliana.

## California Republicans Launch New Voter Registration Initiative

*Operation Bounty launched for 2007*

### Inside This Issue

#### Features

New Voter Registration Initiative .	1
Review: The Hoax .....	7
Tom Del Beccaro .....	1
What DS are Telling Us.....	4

#### Columns

Bill's Bills .....	3
Letters .....	5
Political Forum .....	6
President's Message .....	2

#### General Info

Calendar .....	8
Membership Information .....	7
Political Blog Sites .....	5

(BURBANK) -- Citing Republican voter registration programs as critical to expanding the party in California, the California Republican Party today announced the launch of a new voter registration initiative: Operation Bounty for 2007 is ready to go.

**"Republican volunteers in California are the best when it comes to registering new Republican voters, and our goal is to support those efforts in every way we can,"** said CRP Chairman Ron Nehring. **"Operation Bounty is based on a volunteer-driven model that has proven successful for years."**

Under the program, Republican county committees and volunteer groups registering new Republican voters will receive a \$3 per verified registration "bounty" to support their organization. The program will apply to registrations gathered after April 6, 2007. To participate, Republican committees and volunteer groups must sign up with the CRP's political department via a new program participation agreement and comply with the program guidelines as approved by the CRP's Board of Directors.

**"Republican volunteer clubs are active in every corner of our state working to expand the party,"** said Vice Chairman Tom Del Beccaro, who chairs the CRP's Volunteer Organizations Committee. **"Operation Bounty is**

*(Continued on page 3)*



Louiaw Clarke Stone

### *From the President's Desk—*

*When the conduct of men is designed to be influenced, persuasion, kind unassuming persuasion, should ever be adopted. It is an old and true maxim that 'a drop of honey catches more flies than a gallon of gall.' So with men. If you would win a man to your cause, first convince him that you are his sincere friend. Therein is a drop of honey that catches his heart, which, say what he will, is the great highroad to his reason, and which, once gained, you will find but little trouble in convincing him of the justice of your cause, if indeed that cause is really a good one.*

**Abraham Lincoln (1809 - 1865)**

*16th president of the United States*

### *Happy Spring ~*

Please make reservations with Barbara Smith at (323) 849-7420 and attend SCRW&M's May 12 luncheon at the Smoke House in Burbank. Our speaker will be Tom Del Beccaro. Also, at the Smoke House on June 9, SCRW&M will host Nonie Darwish (Nahid Hyde), long-time SCRW&M member, as our luncheon speaker. Mark your calendar to make reservations with Barbara to have lunch with and hear Nonie.

On another note —

Like a lot of people, I have a job. I work; my employer pays me. In order to get that paycheck I am required to pass random body fluids testing, with which I have no problem.

I pay my taxes, and the government distributes my taxes. I have a problem with the distribution of my taxes to people who do not have to pass random body fluids testing. Shouldn't one comply with body fluids testing to get a welfare check because I have to pass one to earn it for them? Please understand, I have no problem with helping people get back on their own. I do, on the other hand, have a problem with helping someone sit on their butt.

What if individuals had to pass random body fluids testing to get a public assistance check. You know the (state and federal) government is going to say, "testing is too expensive." The ACLU will claim "it is insidious, interfering, and violates a person's right to privacy." It does not have to be a urine check; it can be a saliva test – performed in front of the tester. Because you know if there is a closed bathroom door, there is going to be fraud.

Think of the money the government would have to cover necessary services to law-abiding folks! Who is ready to plant this seed of a plan into the thought process of our elected representatives? A one-panel drug strip is only 79 cents, and it tests for the top five drugs. Would the government be willing to spend 79 cents to save 7,900 hundred dollars per year or more? Would you?

Imagine that 79 cents potential –invest in or develop future tax resources; provide a medical benefit for all children in this country; upgrade our infrastructure; provide true social security; we could save a life . . .

*. . . . Louise Clarke Stone*



### Executive Board

Louise Clarke Stone	President
Brenda Montaine	1st Vice President
Eldon Quick	2nd Vice President
Elissa M. Jackson	3rd Vice President
William Saracino	Treasurer
Susan Quick	Recording Secretary
	Corresponding Secretary

### Committee Chairmen

Candidate Development	Carl F. Davis, Jr.
Hospitality	
Newsletter Editor	Elissa M. Jackson
Reservations	Barbara Smith
Website	Eldon Quick

Members are encouraged to submit articles and political material for publication in the SCRW&M newsletter.


SCRW&M reserves the right to accept, reject and edit letters and other editorial material.

Letters and editorial material must include the name, telephone number and address of the person making the submission.

SCRW&M does not return letters, photos, editorial material or other submissions.

Letters and editorial material may be mailed to: SCRW&M, Post Office Box 8609, Long Beach, CA 90808, or email to: [meem.jackson@charter.net](mailto:meem.jackson@charter.net)

All letters, photos and editorial material submitted shall become the property of SCRW&M and may be published in any format.



Website:

**[scrwm.org](http://scrwm.org)**

Blog:

**[scrwm.wordpress.com](http://scrwm.wordpress.com)**

**DEADLINE FOR  
JUNE 2007  
NEWSLETTER  
SUBMISSIONS:  
MAY 15, 2007.**

## Bill's Bills

The Legislature is in full swing, which means grab your wallets, cover the children's ears and hide the small animals. This year has also featured an assault of the concept of individual freedom and personal responsibility that is over-the-top even by past liberal Democrat standards. The Democrats are in a "all nanny-state all the time" mode. What follows are just a few examples.

**\*AB 722 by Democrat Lloyd Levine of Van Nuys** outlaws incandescent light bulbs. An entire industry that has served the public with extraordinary efficiency for over a century would be legislated out of existence. This will no doubt pass the legislature and put the new, "I'm greener than you are" Arnold Schwarzenegger in a most uncomfortable position.

**\*SB 120 by Senators Padilla of L.A. and Migden of San Francisco and Mars** would require all restaurants to inform customers of exactly how many calories and milligrams of salt are in every menu item. Many restaurants, perhaps a majority of them, now voluntarily provide such information. This isn't good enough for the food Nazi's. And speaking of the gazpacho Gestapo, this measure closely resembles ...

**\*AB 97 by Assemblyman Mendoza of Artesia** would outlaw all trans fats in all foods in all restaurants and schools. Those of you who thought the condition of your heart and arteries were your own business obviously don't fit into the brave new Democrat world, and will be sent to re-education camps.

**\*AB1634, authored by the aforementioned Lloyd Levine and co-authored by the aforementioned Senator Padilla** (apparently co-chairs of the "wipe out all semblance of personal freedom caucus), would make it mandatory – with accompanying fines if you don't comply - that you spay or neuter your pet. Levine and Padilla had better hope it never becomes mandatory to spay or neuter legislators who come up with stupid ideas.


**\*SB752, by Senator Steinberg of Sacramento** would give \$500 – free and gratis from the taxpayers – to every child born in California, legal, illegal or Venutian. Words fail me.

**\*SB7, upchucked by Senator Oropeza of Long Beach** would make it a crime to smoke in a vehicle with passengers young than 18. Did you know that a bill our "Republican" Governor signed in to law already makes it a crime to smoke within 25 feet of a "tot-lot sandbox"?

**\*SB 33 by Senator Simitan of Marin** would make it illegal for persons younger than 18 to talk on or texts message on cell phones while driving. The bill also says that police can't pull over a teen-age driver to see if he's using the phone. I guess we'll equip all the cops with Superman x-ray goggles in order to enforce this?

**\*AB 105 by Assemblyman Lieu of Torrance** would make it a crime for anyone under 16 to use a tanning booth.

**AB 374 by Assemblywoman Berg of Santa Rosa** would legalize physician-assisted suicide. This doesn't technically fit in with the above list, except that sane citizens who read the above might indeed be driven to contact Dr. Kervorkian.



William Saracino

..... *Bill Saracino*

## New Voter Registration Initiative

(Continued from page 1)

**designed to give the members of those clubs the support they need to maximize our opportunities for victory."**

Under the new program, volunteer groups and county committees may also expand their efforts through the use of vendors. To combat voter registration fraud, vendors must be approved by the CRP in advance and each registration will be subject to a rigorous verification process. Individuals engaging in fraudulent voter registration activity will be immediately referred to the Secretary of State and the local District Attorney for prosecution.

**"Republican volunteers are anxious to expand our**

**ranks even further as the 2008 election approaches, and we're ready,"** said Michael Kamburowski, the party's new Chief Operating Officer.

Due to federal restrictions on how the program can be funded, the new registration initiative will run through October 5, 2007. At that time the party will consider alternative approaches to keep registration going under vastly more difficult funding rules that take effect at that time.

Republican committees and volunteer groups interested in participating in the program are encouraged to contact Moises Merino at the CRP's Burbank office via email to [bounty@cagop.org](mailto:bounty@cagop.org).

## What "*Decline to States*" Are Telling Us Loud & Clear

— By Thomas Del Beccaro

The number of voters registering as "*Decline to State*" is on the rise. Exactly what are they telling us?

Are they disinterested in voting? They do have a turnout rate lower than those with party affiliation. Are they simply not interested in joining parties per se? After all, this category was formerly designated "Independent."

Or perhaps they simply can not decide for whom to vote?

In truth, they are neither apathetic nor disinterested. Most actually have made up their mind; they favor neither party. That sentiment is not a new phenomenon. It has been true periodically in <st1:country-region>US</st1:country-region> history and it appears on the rise today. The important question is why.

More often than not: voters register *Decline to State* when political parties *Decline to Lead*.

The most vivid example of such a *Decline to Lead*—and the consequences of this failure—occurred during the late 1970s when voters endured the following:

(1) Six years of Nixonian domestic policy which included a massive social welfare spending increase, tax hikes and a bad economy, and

(2) Two years of a Ford Presidency with a poor economic record and no tax cuts except for a paltry \$50 rebate.

It is noteworthy that, despite those two Presidential victories (including a landslide), bad policies prevented Republicans from achieving a political realignment and the Congress remained firmly in Democrat hands.

(3) Four years of Carter's stagflation and malaise and Republicans serving in Congress without particular distinction or compelling alternatives.

As the 1970s wore on and times worsened, neither party appeared to have an answer and, in the minds of voters, there were few distinctions between the two parties. In short, *there was a failure to lead*.

The result?

The 1980 Presidential election offered a striking example of voter independence-- the Presidential candidacy of John Anderson. A self-declared moderate who drew most of his support from Rockefeller Republicans, Anderson ran as an Independent and burst out of the gate with poll numbers around 25%.

Yet Anderson finished with just 7% of the vote and did not win a single precinct in the nation that November.

Why?

Anderson failed, in part, because 3<sup>rd</sup> party candidacies are handicapped in a two party system. In larger part because, notwithstanding his self proclaimed political description, Anderson did not offer policies people wanted, i.e., he championed a \$.50 gas tax to a population

that was hammered by Carter's misery index.

Anderson failed in even larger part because Ronald Reagan did offer leadership that was (1) distinctive from the politics of the prior decade and (2) was inclusive of policies voters wanted including large tax cuts. Reagan and his strong policies eventually drew large numbers to the Republican Party along with Reagan Democrats thereby ensuring no viable third party candidacy in the next two elections.

Thus, through strong leadership and responsive policies, Reagan extinguished the voters' independent streak of the late 1970's.

It is important to note, however, that at the very same time that the national voters appeared to support an independent candidacy in the late 1970's, they convincingly made a partisan turn in California. When Republicans championed law and order and tax cuts in the form of recalling Rose Bird and supporting Prop 13, Republicans gained 14 seats in the California Assembly.

In other words, while the national parties were failing to lead and voters acted somewhat independently, in California, one party did lead and benefited from that leadership.

Not long after those 1970's, and notwithstanding the example of Reagan, Bush I broke his no new tax pledge. He did so *with bipartisan help*. He also endured an economic slow down which included a doubling of the deficit and increased spending. Thus, despite Reagan, the parties appeared not altogether different and lacked leadership.

The result?

The independent voter rose again and Ross Perot ran for President. Although he peaked at 39% in a poll in June (placing him ahead of the two major party candidates), Perot finished with 19% of the vote. Many people credit/fault Bush I's reelection loss, i.e. Clinton's victory, to Perot and the independent voter – both of which were a symptom of poor leadership by the major parties.

In 1994, the Contract with <st1:country-region>America</st1:country-region> was championed by Republicans. It offered the voters a real choice and was supported by Perot and independents. The result was that the lure of Perot was diminished to just 8% in 1996.

All of which brings us to the present. In recent years, those registering as decline to state have been on the rise in California. Simply stated, the problems of higher taxes, budget deficits, education, transportation and crime (many of which blossomed under Gray Davis' failed leadership) have convinced many voters that the political process is not working. That is one reason they turned to a political

(Continued on page 6)

## Letters

### DWP Blackmail

Public held hostage by utility's all-powerful union  
*Article Last Updated: 04/18/2007*

The Department of Water and Power has a long-standing practice of misusing the public's money to benefit its employees, contractors and good friends - and then extracting more money from ratepayers to cover what was squandered.

There is only one word for this practice: blackmail.  
 That's exactly what happened this week.

A Daily News review of reconstructing the city's aging water pipes found that costs doubled when the department quit using outside contractors in favor of unionized in-house crews.

Not only did the DWP workers cost twice as much as private-company workers, but they took twice as long to do the same work.

Despite this revelation, the DWP Board of Commissioners voted Tuesday to expand the number of in-house crews. The deal was called a compromise, but really it was nothing but surrender to extortionists.

The DWP employee union wields so much political power that all but a few dozen of the utility's executives are forced to join the International Brotherhood of Electrical workers. The IBEW's clout is so great that DWP workers earn as much as 30 percent more for the same work as their well-paid counterparts in other city agencies.

Unlike previous DWP commissioners, this board is actually working hard to penetrate the wall of secrecy, dishonesty and incompetence and fix what's broken. But even good intentions weren't enough in this case.

It was obvious to the board that water-pipe reconstruction was a job that should be outsourced. Just one comparison makes that clear: A \$6.2 million proposal to install a 42-inch pipeline beneath Burbank Boulevard and White Oak Avenue in 250 days by a private company was ignored in favor of DWP crews, at the urging of the union. The job took 439 days to complete and cost \$13.8 million.

### In Response to DWP Blackmail

Your editorial incorrectly labels the DWP as blackmailers; the real villains are the City Council, your elected representatives. DWP is one-of-3 revenue-producing entities in Los Angeles City government. The City Council continues to transfer funds -- the paperwork refers to the funds as "excess" -- from the DWP purse. The City taxes the ratepayers an additional 10% on each bill. DWP collects for the Sanitation Department in the trash fees but realizes no financial benefit from them. Using DWP for the City Council's whipping boy is a successful ploy and the Water and Power commissioners, who approve these actions, are appointed by the elected Mayor. IBEW is responsive to the demands of their members. And, by the way, all of City government is rife with unions.

*Louise Clarke Stone*

This was not a difficult choice to make, unless you factor in political power at City Hall.

The result was that instead of dismantling the two DWP crews doing this work, a third one will be added. If there's any work left undone, private firms will be hired. Don't count on it.

If you wonder how the DWP can afford this kind of wasteful spending, look in the mirror. The public pays.

Last fall, after approving salary increases potentially twice those other city employees are getting, the City Council endorsed a DWP proposal for a 5.5 percent water-rate increase over two years. Those come on top of other recent rate increases and more are sure to come in the years ahead.

This water torture of the people of L.A. is just one more example of how the city government operates primarily for itself, its employees and their special interests, and not for the people.

We wouldn't pay blackmail to criminals, and we shouldn't pay blackmail to people who are supposed to be our public servants.

## Political Blog Sites

[blogsforbush.com](http://blogsforbush.com)

[www.boortz.com](http://www.boortz.com)

[centerforpolitics.org/](http://centerforpolitics.org/)  
[crystallball/](http://crystallball/)

[corner.nationalreview.com](http://corner.nationalreview.com)

[dailykos.com](http://dailykos.com)

[gop.com/Blog](http://gop.com/Blog)

[hughhewitt.townhall.com](http://hughhewitt.townhall.com)  
[instapundit.com](http://instapundit.com)

[littlegreenfootballs.com/](http://littlegreenfootballs.com/weblog)  
[weblog](http://weblog)

[michellemalkin.com](http://michellemalkin.com)  
[poliblogger.com](http://poliblogger.com)

[realclearpolitics.com](http://realclearpolitics.com)  
[time.blogs.com/daily\\_dish](http://time.blogs.com/daily_dish)  
[townhall.com](http://townhall.com)  
[wonkette.com](http://wonkette.com)

## Presidential Web Sites to view...

[www.exploremccain.com](http://www.exploremccain.com)  
[www.joinrudy2008.com](http://www.joinrudy2008.com)

[www.mittromney.com](http://www.mittromney.com)  
[www.teamtancredo.org](http://www.teamtancredo.org)

[www.gohunter08.com](http://www.gohunter08.com)

## Political Forum—April 14, 2007

— By Mary Morris



Mary Morris

April's Forum began with a discussion of Don Imus and concluded with an assessment of Arnold Schwarzenegger. In between, the issues of the day were examined and debated in a free-wheeling discussion moderated by Michael Lerew. It was noted that market forces (sponsor bail-outs), not the government (e.g., the FCC) were responsible for pulling Imus off the air. Several members believed that society is saying there are limits to the vulgar and rude language employed in both "street language" and, increasingly, in normal discourse.

Michael then posed a question for the group: what are—or should be—the top priorities of California Republicans prior to the 2008 elections? Most agreed that the foremost goal should be to recruit more Republicans, and to go after Independents. Besides this, the Party needs to define Republican issues that will move people emotionally as well as intellectually. We also need to get voters registered—and then to the polls.

Next agenda item was the behavior of the British sailors and marines who were seized by Iran in disputed water—in particular their apparent willingness to tell all, first to their Iranian captors and then to the British press. The general consensus was that American troops are different, less likely to confess, much more likely to fight—which brought up the question of where the "mother ship" was and why it didn't protect its sailors better. It was noted that the Rules of Engagement currently being followed require permission for action. It was also noted that Iran may want to precipitate an incident that would lead to war, and that perhaps we should take them up on it before they become a nuclear power.

Shifting to Iraq, the question was: what would be a sign of improvement? One answer was when people are able to go out on the street without fear of being blown up. Several members questioned why we don't hear more about progress, and only get the bad news; other

members contended that there was little good news to report.

Nancy Pelosi's trip to Syria and meeting with President Assad was briefly discussed, and the majority feeling was that it was a political attempt to undermine the president and his policies as well as a violation of the 1803 Logan Act, which prohibits unauthorized private citizens from negotiating with foreign powers.

Discussion shifted to the issue of government responsibility for special needs children, sparked by reports of a child with epilepsy who, while having a seizure at school, was not reached in time by an adult skilled in CPR. The child is now in a vegetative state, and the parents have been awarded \$7.6 million. Several of the attendees at the Forum have experience with the education system, with federal and state provisions, and with special needs children, and they were able to contribute substantially to the discussion. Federal law mandates that the state must service every child, from birth to the age of 21, in both private and public schools. Each district deals with this differently, but the bottom line is that parents of special needs children can request someone to attend their child every minute of the school day.

To the question of whether Governor Schwarzenegger would have a lasting impact on the California GOP, most members felt he would not, and believe that he has driven a wedge in the Republican Party. It was pointed out that the dynamics of the County Party are significantly different from the 1960s; no one now does the research necessary to develop business lists, and the Party refuses to provide resources for "unwinnable" districts.

Come join the Forum next month and every month!

The Political Forum, a round table discussion of current topics, sets SCRW&M apart from other Republican organizations. Everyone is encouraged to participate in the discussion and debate of current issues.

**Forum begins at 11:30am**, prior to the monthly luncheon and adjourns at 12:55 pm.

*Come Join the Fun!*

(Continued from page 4)

novice for Governor in 2003 in California.

**I**n sum, history offers us a few simple lessons here.

If the main two political parties share a failure to lead, *i.e. offer no solutions to the real problems of people's lives*, voters will exercise their independence by declining to state their preferences.

The solution is equally simple and it is *not to follow the Decline to States*. To the contrary, the solution is to *lead* - to demonstrate real leadership worthy of being followed. A party that does so becomes the majority

party. The party that won't, well, I'd rather decline to state what it becomes.

~~~~~

\* The California Republicans in the Legislature recently affirmed their allegiance to the No New Tax pledge and have been working hard on law and order issues. By continuing down that path, and with strong marketing, the differences between the Republicans and the Democrats will become clearer every day.

Source: Political Vanguard

## Reviews—The Hoax

— By Eldon Quick



Eldon Quick

From time to time Susan and I attend screenings of just released or soon to be released films at the Screen Actors Guild Film Society. The purpose of these screenings is to create 'buzz' among the members of SAG in favor of the film so when the film is released friends of SAG members will want to go see the film. To fulfill my part of the bargain with the filmmakers I will from time to time give you short reviews of film that I consider to be of interest.

"The Hoax" starring Richard Gere, "The Hoax" purports to be the "true" story of a hoax perpetrated by Clifford Irving on the McGraw Hill Publishing Co. He has presented to the company a proposal for a book, that will be an autobiography of Howard Hughs based on personal interviews with Howard Hughs. Since Howard Hughs talks to absolutely no one outside his closest associates, this will be quite an event.

So far the story is plausibly true.

But next we are asked to believe that Howard Hughs becomes aware of the book and begins feeding Clifford Irving information from secret files about his life. Including most importantly information concerning illegal contributions and bribes to get Richard Nixon elected

President. Further, associates of Mr. Hughs threaten Irving's life if he doesn't include in his book the information they have given him. Ah ha, the plot thickens.

The book is deemed authentic by all who are familiar with Hughs, and McGraw Hill is to go ahead with publication when information about the book is given to close associates of Nixon. Within days all of the trouble Howard Hughs is in with the US government disappears. He no longer owes millions in fines, nor is he under indictment. Mr. Hughs then allows himself to be interviewed by phone on live radio. He states the book is a hoax and all the information in it is false. This destroys Irving's career as a writer, and cancels publication of the book.

Now who is perpetrating a hoax? Was it Clifford Irving or the script writers of the movie? To the best of my knowledge there was never any connection between Hughs, Irving, or Nixon. The movie serves only to get digs in against Nixon and Republicans in general, don't waste your time on it.

### May 1st

#### *Long Beach Municipal Special Election*

8 Propositions on Charter Reform & replacing 6th district City Council seat

### May 16th

#### *Run-off election*

Los Angeles Community College District Board Member

### June 26th

#### *Special Primary Election*

37th Congressional District

## Membership

Membership in Southern California Republican Women & Men is on a calendar year basis, January 1st through December 31st. Membership dues received after October 1st of a given year are credited for the balance of that year and as payment in full for the entire following year. Luncheon and special event tickets are available to members on a first come, first served basis and are subject to availability.

There are four SCRW&M membership classifications, Regular, Patron, Golden and Eagle. All SCRW&M members receive the club's newsletter.

For more membership information, long onto: [www.scrwm.org](http://www.scrwm.org) or email: [scrwmreservations@juno.com](mailto:scrwmreservations@juno.com)

Saturday, May 12, 2006

# Tom Del Beccaro

Vice Chairman of the CRP  
*Featured Speaker*

## THE SMOKE HOUSE

*Founded in 1946 at the corner of Pass & Riverside in Burbank.  
The original restaurant seated 46 people, and was enjoyed by local  
luminaries Bob Hope and Bing Crosby (among others) on its opening night.*

4420 West Lakeside Drive  
Burbank, California 91505

**Political Forum at 11:30am**

**Luncheon at 1:00pm**

*Members: \$25 Non-Members: \$40*

***Reservations by May 9, 2007:***

For Reservations

Call: Barbara Smith (323)849-7420

Or E-mail: [scrwmreservations@juno.com](mailto:scrwmreservations@juno.com)


## Mark Your Calendar for 2007!

May 1 Long Beach Special Election  
May 15 L. A. Runoff Elections

June 9th Luncheon  
*Speaker—Nonie Darwish*

July 14th Luncheon  
*Speaker—tbd*

August 11th Luncheon  
September 8th Luncheon  
October 13th Luncheon  
November 10th Luncheon  
December 8th Luncheon



Post Office Box 7231, Van Nuys, CA 91409

**ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED**

